

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington DC 2023) www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/008,497	01.16.1998	HIROYUKLINOUE	0433/00547	6301
30678	7590 03-17/2003			
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP			EXAMINER	
SUITE 800 1990 M STREET NW		RAO, SHRINIVAS H		
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20036-3425		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2814	

DATE MAILED: 03/17/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicant(s) Application No. INOUE, HIROYUKI 09/008.497 **Advisory Action** Art Unit Examiner 2814 Steven H. Rao -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 12 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] \boxtimes The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) \times they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____. 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) ____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: _____. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: _____. Claim(s) objected to: ___ Claim(s) rejected: 1-9 and 21-39 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ___ 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10. Other: ____



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicants' have amended independent claims 1,21,28,31,34 and 38 to include additional limitations at this stage (After Final), which will require a new search. Applicants' arguments are not persuasive because current case law does not allow such piece meal analysis. Further, the fact that the applied references solve different problems than that identified by the applicants is not sufficient to make the references in applicable In re Gershon, 152, USPQ 602 (CCPA 1967). Claim 40 as previously recited was a independent claim, however Applicants' indicate in their response of 1/15/03 that claim 40 renumbered as claim 39 is an dependent claim, however this claim was rejected for reasons set out under claim 21 previously and the claim has not been amended since then and therefore the same rejection is maintained.

3(14/03)

LONG PHAM DEP